




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification 6 : G06K 15/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 99/09517 (43) International Publication Date: 25 February 1999 (25.02.99)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/15803 (22) International Filing Date: 4 August 1998 (04.08.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/912,866 19 August 1997 (19.08.97) US (71) Applicant: CITIBANK, N.A. [US/US]; 399 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10043 (US). (72) Inventors: FLETCHER, Bruce, Alan; 236 Noroton Avenue, Darien, CT 06820 (US). BHAT, Sandeep; Apartment 15D, 61-25 97th Street, Rego Park, NY 11374 (US). DIDNER, Ira; 251-18 71st Road, Bellerose, NY 11426 (US). FREUNDLICH, Yehudah; 1624 53rd Street, Brooklyn, NY 11204 (US). KOLODZIEJSKI, Richard; 206 Seaman Neck Road, Dix Hills, NY 11746 (US). SCHODER, Joseph; 33 Orlando Avenue, Ardsley, NY 10502 (US). (74) Agent: MARCOU, George, T.; Kilpatrick Stockton LLP, Suite 800, 700 13th Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20005 (US).		(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, YU, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>

(54) Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR COMMERCIAL CREDIT ANALYSIS

(57) Abstract

This invention describes a method and system for assimilating data, applying reasoning mechanisms, and emulating the thought processes of a credit officer (53) for commercial credit analysis. The system aids a credit officer (53) in the risk assessment and completion of a loan package. The system thereby improves loan turnaround time and customer service, improving loan servicing capacity, quality, and consistency of credit decisions, and reducing costs. The system includes: 1) a conventional data base management system subsystem that manages and collects data via electronic access and end-user data entry; and 2) a decision support expert system that applies a knowledge base (30) and inference engine (25) (collectively known as an assessment model) utilizing an evidence tree and a generalized weighting approach to analyze credit requests.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

Method and System for Commercial Credit Analysis.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

5 This invention generally relates to computerized commercial credit analysis and, more particularly, a method and system for assimilating data, applying reasoning, mechanisms, and emulating the thought processes of a credit officer.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 Commercial credit evaluation typically is a process that requires a great deal of expertise. The existing approach to the credit process may consist of a number of primarily manually-driven steps. These steps can include: (1) Acquisition, the process of receiving the loan application, and pipelining and tracking the application; (2) Pre-Underwriting, a process typically conducted by
15 service officers, including searching databases and other computer assisted activities; (3) Underwriting, which is typically conducted by credit officers and other personnel with computer assistance, including spreading of business financials, assigning of debt rating, and evaluation of risk; (4) Approval, typically conducted by a credit officer, which involves reviewing the package, obtaining
20 signatures, and making decision notification; (5) Documentation, including creation of appropriate legal documents; (6) Booking, including typically computer assisted ledger recording and disbursing of funds; (7) Maintenance, which is usually conducted by personnel with computer assistance, including monitoring the portfolio and performing reviews; (8) Collection, which includes
25 classified credit reporting and remedial management; and (9) Management Information System (MIS), typically computer systems that create reports.

Present primarily personnel-heavy elements of the process for determining credit can be very inefficient. For example, the turnaround time for loan

application packages can often be several weeks or more. In addition, inconsistencies may result from the subjective nature of the process, even when personnel are highly trained and use clear guidelines. Further, the decisionmaking process involves an extremely wide range of possible decisions, which can often
5 require a great deal of experience.

As a result of these factors, conservative decisions may sometimes be made by the credit officer, resulting in loss of business. Conversely, poor decisions are sometimes made which result in loan loss.

A useful method for assisting with the decisionmaking process such as that
10 necessary for loan analysis is artificial intelligence systems. Artificial intelligence systems first began to be developed in the early 1950s, beginning in the medical diagnostics field. In the 1980s, tremendous growth in application of artificial intelligence occurred, including application to the finance arena; this growth has resurged in the 1990s.

15 A particular type of artificial intelligence application is an expert system. Expert systems are used for problem solving areas such as diagnosis, analysis, and classification and are good at dealing with ill-defined problems. A number of different approaches using expert systems have been taken in dealing with either the credit recommendation or the decision type of problem as applied to loan
20 analysis. These approaches include decision trees and evidence trees. Typically existing methods for using decision trees is to apply a series of gates with probabilities and degrees of certainty to the gates. Based on the results of these gate analyses, a recommendation can be developed.

Another approach deals with loan analysis on a case-specific reasoning
25 basis; this approach is often referred to as frame-based, a technical term for making actual comparisons to established norms.

Yet another approach is to use simple types of procedural rule-based analysis, such as a series of rules. In this approach, each yes or no answer to a

particular rule results in another rule, depending on the answer. By stringing together such rules and answers, a simplified credit evaluation can be developed.

An example of existing art for an expert system for credit evaluation is O. Castillo and P. Melin, An Expert System for Credit Evaluation, (IN Proceedings; 5 The Second Annual International Conference on Artificial Applications on Wall Street: Tactical and Strategic Computing Technologies, Proceedings of AI Applications on Wall Street, April 1993, Software Engineering Press). This system is a very simple procedural rule-based approach that utilizes a series of questions for which the user answers yes or no. Drawbacks of the system include 10 that it is inflexible and not easily transferrable to other applications. It contains no graphical interfaces that ease user understanding of the loan application process. No explanations are included.

Another example of existing art is R. Beshinske, et al., Margin Credit Evaluation System (IN Proceedings; The First International Conference on 15 Artificial Intelligence on Wall Street (Cat. No. 91TH0399-6) Oct. 1991). This system evaluates a portfolio of securities for an individual company based on a one-time up front review. The system utilizes a weighting of factors based on a statistical regression technique. The system was not designed for loan analysis. It does not provide the user with guidance or extensive graphical analysis assistance 20 with decisionmaking.

An example of existing patented art is Saladin, et al., Expert Credit Recommendation Method and System, U.S. Pat. No. 5,262,941. This system utilizes a series tables and a decision matrix to determine an overall credit recommendation. The system requires input of information by a user in a 25 particular predetermined series of queries. Information cannot be provided out of sequence. The system uses a scoring system to proceed through the series of tables and decision matrix in reaching a decision. No weighting method is used for scoring the loan application.

In general, many of these approaches are very simple, using only very basic types of processes. In addition, the approaches to loan analysis that have been developed in the existing art are only applicable to very limited sets of circumstances, such as determining margin loans, rather than commercial credit situations. They also do not provide extensive assistance to the user in understanding the factors that make up the decisionmaking process or explain their purpose. In general, they are not designed with a graphical or otherwise user-friendly format.

10 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide an expert system to assist with the analysis and evaluation of loan applications. It is a further object of the present invention to provide a system that provides for input of data supporting automatic evaluation of a loan application.

15 It is further object of the present invention to check automatically the inputted data for completeness.

It is a further object of the present invention to transmit automatically the inputted data to an expert analysis system for operation of a loan evaluation and decision assessment model.

20 It is a further object of the present invention to use automatically an evidence tree analysis method with weights for different nodes and factors in the evidence tree.

It is a further object of the present invention to flag automatically within the evidence tree risk acceptance criteria (RAC), underwriting guideline failure, and overriding rules.

25 It is a further object of the present invention to provide automatically a summary narrative of exceptionally positive and negative weights.

It is a further object of the present invention to identify automatically qualitative aspects of weights involved in the assessment model.

It is a further object of the present invention to make automatically a loan decision recommendation.

5 It is a further object of the present invention to provide automatically a summary analysis of assessment model results.

It is a further objective of the present invention to provide automatically alternative analyses for the loan decision.

10 It is a further object of the present invention to seek automatically relevant data in publicly available databases.

It is a further object of the present invention to develop and print automatically appropriate forms.

15 The invention comprises a system that stores critical credit data, analyzes and makes recommendations for credit requests, and communicates key data to external devices within a single system. Two distinct components are included within the invention: 1) a conventional relational data base management system that manages and collects data via electronic access; 2) user data entry and reporting subsystem; and 3) a decision support expert subsystem that applies a knowledge base and inference engine (together known as the assessment model) to
20 analyze credit requests.

25 An important aspect of the invention is the graphical interface that works in conjunction with the expert system to provide the user with specific information about the weights and other factors involved in the decisionmaking process. The system allows the user to input information as the user wants, without following a specific procedure or order. The graphical interface includes the specific weights assigned by the system, rules applied, access to explanations, and flags and tags that indicate key aspects of the information presented. In addition, the system provides a summary and collection of important data and presents a narrative

summary to accompany the credit recommendation it makes. This information allows the user, typically a credit officer, to understand in much greater detail the specific factors involved in a credit decision, the relative weights of these factors, and how the loan application information impacts these factors. As a result, the user can identify specific strengths and weaknesses and use this information in making the final loan decision.

The data base management system serves as a central server repository for data storage and retrieval. In addition, this component serves as a vehicle for exportation of data, such as MIS data. The assessment model assimilates and organizes client information and produces recommendations and supporting documentation. Results of the credit analysis are available for further inspection and manipulation by the user.

To achieve the stated and other objects of the present invention, as embodied and described below, the invention includes entering and importing via links with databases data relating to a loan application into a data management system, automatically checking the entered data for completeness, automatically generating relevant documents for the loan applicant relating to the application, and automatically transmitting the data to an expert analysis system. In addition, the invention includes the step of the expert analysis system automatically assessing and recommending a loan decision using an assessment model and the data. If the loan application is approved, the invention automatically generates reports relating to the loan and transmits applicant information from the expert analysis system to the data management system.

To achieve the stated and other objects of the present invention, as embodied and described below, the invention further includes automatically preprocessing data to align assessment model elements based on the profile of the loan application, automatically analyzing inputted data in preparation for input to evidence tree elements, automatically executing a debt rating model, which is a

validated risk rating score, automatically evaluating the evidence tree elements based on the weights assigned and the inputted data, automatically determining a risk rating, automatically determining an overall risk rating to the obligor, automatically calculating the relationship profitability after all direct and indirect expenses, automatically producing an explanation of strengths and weaknesses of the loan application based on inputs to and results of assessment model analysis, and automatically making a loan recommendation.

Additional objects, advantages and novel features of the invention will be set forth in part in the description which follows, and in part will become more apparent to those skilled in the art upon examination of the following or may be learned by practice of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

In the drawings:

Figures 1a-1c contain block diagrams of the Application Processing steps, the Underwriting steps, and the Documentation & Booking steps of the overall system process.

Figure 2 is a simple overview of the various components of an embodiment of the present invention.

Figure 3a is a simple schematic overview of the system of the present invention; Figure 3b is a schematic diagram of aspects of expert system portability.

Figure 4 is a flow diagram of the process of user data input and operation of the system for an embodiment using specific steps for a large lending institution.

Figure 5 is a sample login screen for the system.

Figure 6 is a sample startup screen for the system.

Figure 7 shows a pulldown menu for the startup screen.

Figure 8 is a pop-up window for opening or searching for a file.

Figure 9 is a pulldown menu for inputting data.

Figure 10 is the pop-up window for inputting business data.

Figure 11 is the pop-up window for inputting relationship and business details.

5 Figure 12 is a window for customers/suppliers for relationship details data entry.

Figure 13 is the pop-up window for business financials.

Figure 14 is the pulldown menu for analyze data.

Figure 15 is the pop-up window for business income.

10 Figure 16 is the window for conversion of business assets.

Figure 17 is the window for key coverage ratios.

Figure 18 is the window for assessment.

Figure 19 is the window for credit assessment.

Figure 20 is the pop-up window for explanations.

15 Figure 21 is the pop-up window for view graph.

Figure 22 is the pulldown menu for complete approval package.

Figure 23 is the first part of the window for CAS.

Figure 24 is the second part of the window for CAS.

Figure 25 is the third part of the window for CAS.

20 Figure 26 is the window for view report for CAS.

Figure 27 is the first part of the window for credit memo.

Figure 28 is the second part of the window for credit memo.

Figure 29 is the window for view report for credit memo.

Figure 30 is the pulldown menu for administration.

25 Figure 31 is the file management pulldown menu.

Figure 32 is the window for change status.

Figure 33 is the comments window for change status.

Figure 34 is the first node and branches of an example evidence tree process.

Figure 35 is a branch for the repayment sources node.

Figure 36 is the first node and branches for an example evidence tree process with nominal weights included.

Figure 37 is the repayment sources node and selected branches.

Figure 38 is a window containing details for a selected sample branch and series of nodes for an actual credit request evaluated by the system.

Figure 39 contains a window with nodes and branches showing flags and tags and an explanation from the system.

Figure 40 is a window with sample sections of an evidence tree with details highlighted.

Figure 41 presents an example for an embodiment of the present invention containing value totaling at a node.

Figure 42 shows an example for an embodiment of the present invention for value totaling at a node.

Figure 43 shows an embodiment of the present invention indicating how a risk factor may not be applicable in a particular case.

Figure 44 presents a feature of an embodiment of the present invention that allows the user to modify data and view the results immediately.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The present invention solves the problems of the existing art by utilizing powerful analysis tools within a generalized and malleable framework to automatically perform loan analysis functions, thus eliminating subjective, manual and unconnected automated functions presently used. The tools of the present invention include graphical interfaces that provide clear ongoing explanation of the system's processes. These graphical interfaces allow the user to identify the

specific strengths and weaknesses relating to a particular loan application. The present invention also provides a powerful model for developers and management within loan processing organizations because the graphical interface provides a clear understanding of the logic process used in making loan determinations.

5 An important aspect of the invention is the interface that works in conjunction with the expert system to provide the user with specific information about the weights, rules, and other factors involved in the decisionmaking process. The system allows the user to input information as the user wants, without following a specific procedure or order. The graphical interface includes the
10 specific weights assigned by the system, access to explanations, and flags and tags that indicate key aspects of the information presented. In addition, the system provides a summary and collection of important data and presents a narrative summary to accompany the credit recommendation it makes. This information allows the user, typically a credit officer, to understand in much greater detail the
15 specific factors involved in a credit decision, the relative weights of these factors, and how the loan application information impacts these factors. As a result, the user can identify specific strengths and weaknesses and use this information in making the final loan decision.

 An embodiment of the invention comprises a client/server computer
20 program that stores critical credit data, analyzes and makes recommendations for credit requests, and communicates key MIS data to external devices within a single system. Two distinct components are included within the system: 1) a conventional data base management system that manages and collects data via electronic access and end-user data entry; and 2) a decision support expert system
25 that applies a knowledge base and inference engine (together known as the assessment model) to analyze credit requests and the results of these analyses.

 The data base management system element of the invention serves as a central server repository for data storage and retrieval, both as inputted by the user

and by linkages, such as by networks, to external databases, housed in systems such as mainframes, Wide Area Networks (WANs), Local Area Networks (LANs), and servers. In addition, this component serves as a vehicle for exportation of data. The expert system component assimilates and organizes client information and produces recommendations and supporting documentation. In addition, the expert system provides the capability for contacting other databases, including publicly available databases, retrieving information from those databases, such as UCC, litigation, and lien information, and incorporating that data into the analysis. Results of the credit analysis are available for further inspection and manipulation by a user.

In an embodiment of the invention, the assessment model is an automated process that utilizes an evidence tree that includes a generalized weighing approach to represent how credit officers evaluate objective and subjective elements of a credit decision. The weighing includes elements that add strength to a decision, by adding positive points, and elements that detract from a decision, by subtracting points. The system groups risk elements into logical groups through the use of intermediary risk concepts or nodes. Some of the elements raise red flags that are noted during the underwriting process and may require comment from a credit officer. Some of the risk elements are overriding rules that "override" the weighing process, thereby driving the decision. Risk elements are identified as either objective or subjective.

In an embodiment of the invention, commercially available software may be used to develop some aspects of the system, including a user interface consisting of a series of screens in a Windows™-based format. It is understood that the software and operating system used in this embodiment are representative only; many similar available system may be used to build and display the various components of the system. Commercially available software used in an embodiment includes the following: 1) Delphi 2.0 from Borland Corporation of

Scotts Valley, California, for the front end data entry, functions associated with the front end, and reporting; 2) Sybase SQL Server from Sybase, Inc., of Emeryville, California, which is utilized as the Data Base Management System (DBMS) for storage and manipulation; 3) ART*Enterprise 2.0 from Brightware, Inc., of
5 Navato, California, which is utilized to build the assessment model, perform the loan analysis, and display the loan characteristics through a graphical interface; 4) Orpheus, for additional data base components, and SysTools and additional system utilities by TurboPower of Colorado Springs, Colorado; InfoPower for enhanced data base manager components by Woll2Woll of San Jose, California; ABC
10 Advanced Business Components by Object Software Technology PTY, Ltd., of Queen Victory Terrace ACT 2600 Australia, for various system components; and QuickReports by QuSoft AS of Oslo, Norway, for reporting.

The evidence tree modeling of logic utilized in reaching a credit decision has a number of benefits, including the following. It mimics the common sense
15 approach that people utilize to make many decisions, e.g., pros (positive elements) and cons (negative elements). It allows for modeling of decision logic without a lot of rules. The system has the ability to represent all the decision logic in one part of the expert system program. It allows credit experts to compare the relative importance of elements (e.g., element "x" is twice as important as element "y")
20 and to see how positive elements might be offset by negative ones. The use of intermediary risk concepts or nodes helps to express clearly the risk elements utilized because this approach 1) is beneficial in understanding and evaluating the risk elements and their importance during the model development process, 2) makes future modifications to the risk assessment easy to execute, and 3) results in
25 a simple method for the system to generate decision explanations for particular loan applications or other decisions(strengths and weaknesses). The system includes the capability to identify how much subjective information either adds to or detracts from an application.

Another key aspect of the expert system of the present invention is a conventional data base input and tracing system that has functionality to perform financial calculations and manipulations and other functions, such as the creation of reports and the generation of textual analyses with the evidence tree results. In addition, such features as tallying of flags and other signals relating to specifics of data input for a particular scenario are included in this element of the system.

A particular feature is listing of strengths followed by weaknesses where the loan application is approved, and weaknesses followed by strengths where declined. This approach highlights to the user the most important factors in the particular application first.

References will now be made in detail to an embodiment of the invention, an example of which is illustrated in the accompanying drawings.

Figures 1a-1c contain a block diagram of an overview of the steps involved in a typical loan analysis process, using information specific to the process at a bank such as Citibank. The description of this process is intended to illustrate a possible range of steps in a loan application. It is not intended to reflect all aspects of the present invention, nor is it intended to limit the invention to the steps presented. These steps merely reflect some of the details that may be present as part of the decisionmaking process. The invention has the capability to automate many of these steps. Focus on the details of this process thus highlights the value of the automatic features of the invention, as described further below, and the usefulness for the non-automated steps for the user to access a system and the explanation supporting the process comprising that system.

Figure 1a shows the application processing portion of the process. In step S1 (Collect Package), a business banker collects a package of information from the applicant for credit. In step S2 (Send Loan Package), the business banker sends the loan package to a credit center. In step S3 (entry in the ACAPS system), a service officer in the credit center enters key loan request, guarantor, and

demographic data into the Automated Credit Application Processing System (ACAPS) screens (ACAPS is a mainframe credit processing system internal to Citibank in this example). At a future point, the business banker in the branch can perform the data entry for the applicant. In step S4 (ACAPS Execution), the
5 ACAPS system automatically performs the following tasks: de-dupe, fraud check, personal bureaus, disaster screen, score, and D&B.

In step S5 (ACAPS/Feed to Expert System), the expert system imports data from ACAPS. In step S6 (Credit Officer Assignment), a credit officer is assigned to handle the deal and is given the credit file if the matter involves an existing
10 customer. In step S7 (Pre-Screen Review), the credit officer preliminarily reviews the ACAPS results, and determines if the results are satisfactory or unsatisfactory.

If the credit officer determines that the results of the Pre-Screen Review are unsatisfactory, the process proceeds to step S17 (Expert System Generates Risk Assessment & Recommendation Documentation). If the credit officer determines
15 that the results are satisfactory, the process proceeds to step S8 (Package Completeness Check). In step S8, the package is reviewed for completeness.

In step S9 (ACAPS input on completeness and RM assignment), the package completeness and RM assignment directly into the ACAPS system. In step S10 (Reg. B/Missing Information Letter), an ACAPS generated letter is issued
20 that contains Reg. B rights and missing information, if the package is incomplete. If the package is complete, the process proceeds to step S12 (Input Financial Data Into Expert System), which constitutes the first step in the next stage of the system, Underwriting, shown in figure 1b. If the package is incomplete, the process proceeds to step S11 (Pending Queue), where the deal is held in a pending
25 queue until the package is complete. Once the package is complete, the process proceeds to step S12.

Figure 1b shows the Underwriting portion of the process. In step S12, an underwriter inputs business financial and personal data into the expert system and

answers questions required for the Assessment Model. In step S13 (Expert System Assessment), the expert system analyzes financial trends, assigns debt rating, identifies sources of repayment, highlights strengths and weaknesses, and makes recommendations.

5 In step S14 (Lien Search), UCC, litigation, and lien search is ordered on-line if the Expert System results are satisfactory; results are input into the Expert System. In step S15 (Credit Officer Review), the credit officer reviews the expert system assessment, comments on red flags and weaknesses identified, and makes a recommendation. In step S16 (Site Visit if Necessary), the credit officer performs
10 a site visit if necessary for approval.

 In step S17, the Expert System generates an output package on its assessment (see further description of Expert System below). The credit officer then recommends either approval or decline. If the credit officer recommends decline, the system proceeds to step S20 (Second Level of Decline Review). If the
15 credit officer recommends approval, the system proceeds to step S18 (Trade Checks). In step S18, trade checks are requested. In step S19 (2nd and 3rd Initial Review (if required), a 2nd and 3rd credit officer review the loan approval package. These credit officers either recommend approval or decline. The process then proceeds to step S21 (Decision Fed to ACAPS).

20 In step S20, a second credit officer reviews the decline decision and notes concurrence. In step S21, the credit decision is fed into ACAPS by the Expert System. If the package comprises a counter product, amounts are included. If the decision was an approval, the procedure proceeds to step S23 (Perform/Review Final Checkings), shown on Figure 1c as part of the section on Documentation &
25 Booking; if the decision was a decline, the procedure proceeds to step S22 (ACAPS Decline Letter), shown in figure 1b. In step S22, ACAPS generates a decline letter. Following step S22, the procedure proceeds to step S34 (ES Data Feed to ACAPS).

In step S23, shown in the section of the procedure on Documentation & Booking in figure 1c, a trade check is reviewed, and a bank check is performed. If the checks are unsatisfactory, the process returns to step S17. If the checks are satisfactory, the process proceeds to step S24 (ACAPS Identifies Documentation Required). In this step, based on deal approval information input from the Expert System, ACAPS identifies typical documentation required.

In step S25 (Legal Documents Generated), the service officer generates legal documents from ACAPS. In step S26 (Review Documents Generated), a credit officer reviews the documents and forwards them to the customer. If the documents are unsatisfactory, the process returns to step S25.

If the documents are satisfactory, the process proceeds to step S27 (Record in ACAPS Date Documents Sent). In step S27, the date the documents are sent is recorded directly into ACAPS screens. In step S28 (Doc. Quality Review), upon receiving the documents from the customer, they are reviewed to ensure that they are properly executed. If unsatisfactory, the process returns to step S25.

If satisfactory, the process proceeds to step S29 (Prepare Loan Booking Forms). In this step, loan booking forms are prepared by the service officer. In step S30 (File UCC), a UCC filing is ordered on-line through Intercounty Clearance. In step S31 (Booking Forms to Loan Ops.), booking forms are transmitted to appropriate processing offices.

In step S32 (Disburse Funds), funds are disbursed by the loan group. In step S33 (Verify Booking), booking is verified by a Credit Center. In step S34 (ES Data Feed to ACAPS), key demographic, business, and personal financial and subjective risk elements are sent from the Expert System to ACAPS.

The present invention automates and links together nearly all aspects of this process, using an expert system to simulate decisionmaking aspects of the process to create a loan decision recommendation. For those aspects of the process that are not or cannot be automated, the present invention serves as a graphical analysis

and support tool that greatly eases the user's decisionmaking and other non-automated functions.

Figure 2 provides a simple overview of the various components of an embodiment of the present invention. A user 1 inputs loan information at a terminal 2. The terminal 2 is connected 3 via a network 4 to hosts 5a and 5b, such as computers, mainframes, local area networks (LANs), servers, or any combination of these systems. The system of the present invention receives inputted data and performs functions to support the loan analysis. The host computers 5a and 5b are connected 6 via a network 7 to various other databases and systems housed on other hosts 8 and 9, such as computers, servers, or LANs. The system of the present invention accesses these other systems 8 and 9 to obtain relevant information and to perform additional analyses. In an embodiment of the present invention, the expert system is housed on one server 5b and other elements of the system operate via another server 5a, which is connected to a mainframe 8 that collects data and performs other analyses.

Figure 3a provides a simple schematic overview of the system of the present invention. The expert system 10 comprises an assessment model using various risk elements and weights. Manual input 11 is provided to the expert system along with other data from a database systems, such as a mainframe link 12. The results 13 of the assessment model are analyses regarding such elements as strengths, weaknesses, loan application RACs, and decisional advice. A credit officer 14 at a terminal 15, such as a personal computer, then reviews and evaluates this information in order to make a credit decision. The expert system 10 comprises a front end element 16 and an assessment model 17 that contains risk elements 18 and weights 19.

Figure 3b is a schematic diagram of aspects of expert system element portability. Portability refers to the usefulness of each element of the system for other applications besides loan application processing in this domain. The front

end of the system 20 includes user screens 21, a data repository 22, and other functions 23, which are of low portability. The front end 20 interfaces 24 with the inference engine 25. The inference engine 25 includes pre-processing 26, assessment mechanisms 27, and explanation mechanisms 28, all of which are of relatively high portability. The inference engine 25 interfaces 29 with the knowledge base 30. The knowledge base 30 contains a highly portable framework 31, which includes a knowledge element 32 that is moderately portable.

Figure 4 contains a flow diagram of the process of user data input and operation of the system for an embodiment using specific steps for a large lending institution. The input and operation process may be divided into four categories: Input 40, Analysis 41, System Assessment 42, and Approval 43. The input process includes the steps of Establish relationship name S40a; Enter names of businesses S40b; Enter names of people S40c; Define business relationship and enter business details S40d; Enter details on guarantors and owners S40e; Enter personal bureau information S40f; Enter loan request details S40g; Spread information in a database system S40h, such as FAST, software licensed from Financial Proforma, Inc.; and Import the spread information into the expert system and review S40i. The Analysis 41 process includes the steps of Establish baseline business income S41a; Analyze surplus personal income S41b; Review DSC calculation S41c; Analyze conversion of assets S41d; Analyze liquidation of assets S41e; Enter and evaluate personal net worth S41f; and Review key ratio analysis S41g.

The System Analysis 42 process includes Execute system assessment S42a; Review risk rating S42b; Review relationship profit S42c; Evaluate system credit assessment S42d; Create/review counter offer S42e; and Review analysis completeness S42f. The Approval 43 process includes Prepare CAS S43a; Prepare credit memo S43b; and After booking change deal status S43c.

The database portion of the invention includes a computerized system for input of information to support analysis of credit. As noted in the system overview, the database element of the invention provides input for the expert system and performs other functions. Data collection and input by the user can include the following broad areas: key company data; loan products requested; management and ownership/guarantors; summary information on personal bureaus, trade checks, bank checks, and supplier references; business financial statements; personal tax returns and personal financial statements; RAC and other requirements; risk elements, weights, and definitions per Assessment Model

10 Functional Specifications.

The system also performs a series of other automatic functions regarding the data. In an embodiment, these functions are performed using computer programs on systems, such as mainframes, LANs, or servers, and access via networks or other connections to other systems, such as mainframes, LANs, or servers. These functions include checking the data for completeness and generating relevant documents.

15

In an embodiment, the loan assessment and recommendation element of the system identifies key strengths and weaknesses (risks) based on the expert system's knowledge base and data inputted. It also enables the user to identify additional strengths and risks, and note mitigating factors if necessary. In addition, this aspect of the system indicates credit worthiness of the request (range from excellent to very poor). The results of the analysis are correlated to one of the following five outcomes for an overall loan application recommendation: Approve; Decline; Neutral; Defer; and Refer. Refer typically occurs when an overriding rule is triggered, which requires referral to an additional credit officer or another part of a bank for further review. If the system determines that key pieces of information are missing, the recommendation will likely be Defer. Approve, Decline, and Neutral refer to acceptance of the loan, decline of the loan,

20

25

and an indeterminate system result, respectively. The user may also override specific factors or the system overall recommendation, for which user actions the system indicates override has occurred. If the system recommends Decline, it has the capability to generate automatically a decline letter for the applicant.

5 If the loan requested is not recommended as approved, the invention allows the user to determine specific amount and product mix that would result in approval. Further, the invention allows the user to conduct the following activities at all times: 1) determine basis of decision at time of that decision; 2) enter new data without overwriting the old for certain kinds of information; and 3) consider
10 increase in existing product without deleting old request or adjusting new request.

Selected fields in each input screen are color coded (red) to identify that the information is mandatory for the execution of the debt rating model.

Assessment execution occurs based on a command from the operator either through the main menu or the speed button. All data required from the data bases
15 is retrieved automatically to perform the assessment, and the DRM and profitability assessment are executed. The assessment generally includes implementation of the evidence tree, described further below. Other steps by the expert system may also be performed, such as automatically analyzing financial records, assigning a debt rating, and identifying sources of repayment. In an
20 embodiment, these functions are performed using computer programs on systems, such as mainframes, LANs, or servers, and access via networks or other connections to other systems, such as mainframes, LANs, or servers.

A counter-offer worksheet is provided so that the officer can vary the credit products and amounts requested to determine the optimal mix. The screen
25 displays the original requests next to a series of 5 columns of alternate mixes. As the officer varies each scenario, a shortcut button to the assessment model is available for an instant update to a new assessment. The results of all prior

scenarios are visible on the screen for comparison purposes, even after a new assessment has been completed.

The system also automatically generates appropriate documents, such as applicant approval documents and legal documents, and forms relating to the loan.

5 The database element of the system transmits this information, as appropriate, to the expert system component. The system also has the capability to search publicly available databases, such as databases containing Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), litigation, and lien information, and to analyze retrieved information using the expert system.

10 The Credit Approval Summary (CAS) includes features that produce these results based on information already entered in through database functions or the Expert System, or calculated by the Expert System (e.g. facilities, company information, product information, debt rating, RAC exceptions). The CAS enables the user to enter supplementary information on, for example, the purpose of the
15 CAS or any individual product if deemed necessary, and to make changes to other fields; the invention also allows combination of facilities for all related borrowers on same CAS and saves each CAS for historical reference and analysis.

After the assessment, the credit officer is provided with comment boxes to view or input the following comments: weaknesses and mitigation, red flag
20 comments, and reason for variance from system recommendation (if applicable). The results of the section being commented on at all times remain on the screen, so that the user can review the results while formulating comments. A comment field is also included that allows the user to comment on issues uncovered with the assessment results.

25 Figures 5-33 contain sample screens for the user interface for the system. These sample screens are tailored to a specific loan institution, Citibank, but are not intended to limit or restrict the method and system of the present invention. The sample screens are intended to provide representative examples of system

input and operation information relevant to a loan application; they are not intended to comprehensively describe all possible inputs and functions of the invention.

Figure 5 presents the login screen for the front-end portion of the system, for an example lending institution such as Citibank. A window 50 contains a menu bar 51. A user inputs a user name 52 and a password 53. The user then selects a button for OK 54 to continue or Cancel 55 to reenter information.

After the user selects OK 54, the system proceeds to a startup screen, shown in Figure 6. A window 60 contains a menu bar 61 and a tool bar 62. The user may also select pull-down menus for File Management 63, Administration 64, or Help 65. The toolbar functions include buttons for Business details 62a, Owner/guarantor 62b, Loan request 62c, Personal checks 62d, Business financials 62e, Business income analysis 62f, Surplus personal income analysis 62g, Debt service coverage analysis 62h, Conversion of assets analysis 62i, Liquidation of assets analysis 62j, personal net worth 62k, Key analysis ratios 62m, Launch assessment 62n, Prepare CAS 62p, and Prepare memo 62q.

As shown in Figure 7, if the user selects the pull-down menu for File Management 63, menu options appear for Open 66 and Exit 68. Note that highlighting a menu option, such as Open 66, produces an explanation 67 in the comments area 68 at the bottom of the window 60. If the user selects Open 66 in Figure 7, a pop-up window 70 appears, as shown in Figure 8. In addition to the pop-up window 70 appearing, selection of this option results in additional pull-down menu activation. These additional pull-down menus include Input Data 71, Analyze Data 72, Complete Approval Package 73, and View Reports 74. The pop-up window 70 contains search functions for files to open. The search areas are selectable using a pull-down menu for Available Keys 75. Within the search area, Search Values 76 may be provided by which the user may search. The search results appear within a subwindow 77 of the pop-up window 70. The pop-

up window 70 also contains buttons for adding a new item 78, adding a new request 79, modifying an existing item 80, viewing a selected item 81, or closing 82 the window 70. The user scrolls through the list using the toolbar items 83.

As shown in Figure 9, the user may select a number of items from the
5 pulldown menu for Input Data 71. These items include Businesses 90, People 91, Relationship & Business Details 92, Personal Guarantor/Owner 93, Personal Checks 94, Loan Request 95, and Business Financials 96.

In Figure 9, if the user selects Businesses 90 from the Input Data 71
pulldown menu, a pop-up window 100 appears, as shown in Figure 10. The user
10 may select a business entity for inputting data from a pulldown menu of choices of Available Keys 101, and search by inputted Search Text 102. The search results appear within a subwindow 103. Once the user has selected an item, the user scrolls through the list using the toolbar 104 within the window 100. Buttons are also available for adding an item 105, modifying an existing item 106, deleting an
15 existing item 107, or closing 108 the window 100.

In Figure 9, if the user selects Relationship & Business Details 92 from the
Input Data 71 pulldown menu, a pop-up window 110 appears, as shown in Figure
11. The user may search among Available Keys 111 using inputted Search Text
112. A list appears 113 based on search results. The user scrolls through the list
20 113 using the toolbar 114. The user tags each entry for business type classifications using buttons to set or delete the tag; these tags include Primary 115, Related Borrower 116, and Corporate Guarantor 117. In addition, if the user designates the business as a Corporate Guarantor 117, the user may add details by selecting the Details button 117a. In addition, the user can add Relationship
25 Details 118. A button is also available to Close 119 the pop-up window 110 when the user is finished adding or modifying information.

In Figure 11, if the user selects Relationship Details 118, a series of
windows appears, which include Relationship Profile 120, Customers/Suppliers

121, Asset History 122, Liability History 123, Business Checks 124, and Financial Information Quality 125. Figure 12 presents the window 126 for Customers/Suppliers 121. The pop-up window 126 for Customers/Suppliers 121, includes groups of tags for the user to designate. One group of tags is for Sales 127, which contains a number of subtags: Largest Customer % 127a; Second Largest Customer % 127b; Third Largest Customer % 127c; Major Customer name 127d; Taking Customer Concentration Into Account subtag group 128, including Customer Quality 128a, Customer Stability 128b, and Customer's geographic concentration 128c; also included are a button for calculating 129a a Conversion Factor on Receivables 129b and a Liquidation Factor on Receivables 129c, based on information inputted on sales. A second tag group is for Purchases 130, which includes subtags for Largest Supplier % 130a, Supplier Risk 130b, and Access to Many Different Suppliers? 130c. The third tag group is for Industry 131, which includes subtags for Industry Prospects 131a, Competitive Environment 131b, and Regulatory Environment 131c. The user may also select buttons to Save 132 information or to Cancel 133.

In Figure 9, if the user selects Business Financials 96 from the Input Data 71 pulldown menu, a pop-up window 140 appears, as shown in Figure 13. A series of windows is available from which the user may scroll among. These windows include Income Statement 141, Related Income Statement 142, Assets 143, Liabilities and Net Worth 144, and Assessment Ratios 145. Figure 13 presents the information for Income Statement 141. This information includes a table of information 146. The table 146 includes rows of information regarding Net Sales 146a, Gross Profit 146b, Officers Compensation 146c, Wages and Salaries 146d, Depreciation and Amortization 146e, Bad Debt Expense 146f, Other SGA Expense 146g, Total Operating Expenses 146h, Operating Profit 146i, Other Items 146j, Interest Expense 146k, Taxes 146m, Extraordinary Items 146n, Net profit 146p, and Div and Oth Adj to Ret Earn 146q. The table 146 contains

columns for Full Year Actuals 146r, Interim 146s, and Projected 146t. The window 140 also contains buttons for Import from FAST and Display 147, Display Only 148, and Go To FAST 149. The user may Save 150 or Cancel 151 inputted information.

5 Figure 14 presents the pulldown menu for Analyze Data 72. This pulldown menu includes Relationship Profitability Analysis 160, Business Income 161, Business Mortgages 162, Surplus Personal Income 163, Debt Service Coverage 164, Conversion of Business Assets 165, Liquidation of Business Assets 166, Mortgage Loan to Value 167, Personal Net Worth 168, Key Coverage Ratios 169, 10 and Assessment 170. If the user selects Business Income 161 from the Analyze Data 72 pulldown menu, a pop-up window 180 appears, as shown in Figure 15. The window 180 includes tabular information, including rows of information regarding Business Net Income 180a, Business Depreciation + Amortization 180b, Business Interest 180c, Dividends + Distributions 180d, Other Adjustments 180e, 15 and Business Income 180f; and columns for Most Recent Fiscal Year Financials 180g, Interim Financials 180h, Projected Financials 180i, and Utilized Figures 180j. The window 180 also contains a section for entering Comments 180k. The user may Save 181 or Cancel 182 inputted information.

 In Figure 14, if the user selects Conversion of Business Assets 165 from the 20 Analyze Data 72 pulldown menu, a window 190 appears, as shown in Figure 16. The window 190 allows the user to input information tabularly regarding conversion of business assets. The columns for the tabular information are for Most Recent Fiscal Year Financials 191, Interim Financials 192, Projected Financials 193, Utilized Figures 194, Conversion Factor 195, and Conversion 25 Value 196. The rows for the tabular information include user input for Eligible Receivables 197, Projected Percentage Change 198, and Projected Dollar Change 199; the system then determines Projected Eligible Receivables 200; the user inputs rows for Inventory 201 and Projected Inventory 202. The user may select

the Switch button 203 to change Projected Inventory 202 information. The rows for tabular information also include Collateral 204 and Eligible Assets for Conversion 205. The user may select the Switch button 206 to change Collateral information. The system determines Projected Eligible Assets for Conversion
5 207; and the system determines the Conversion of Business Assets Coverage Ratio Based on 208 Eligible Assets 209. The window 190 also includes a list of Citibank Facilities 210, which includes information on Product 210a, Outstanding 210b, and Amount 210c; the user may scroll through the list of Citibank Facilities 210 using a toolbar 211. The user may Save 212 or Cancel 213 inputted
10 information.

In Figure 14, if the user selects Key Coverage Ratios 169 from the Analyze Data 72 pulldown menu, a window 310 appears, as shown in Figure 17. The window 310 allows the user to input information regarding Summary of Key Coverage Ratios in boxes. The boxes include Primary Repayment Source 311,
15 with rows for Debt Service 311a and Conversion of Business Assets 311b; Additional Repayment Source 312, with a row for Liquidation of Total Assets to Non-Mortgage Facilities 312a; Distress Coverage (If Applicable) 313, with rows for Full Amortization Debt Service 313a and Non-Mortgage Scheduled Debt Coverage 313b; a list of information on Mortgages Only 314, which includes
20 information on Product 314a, Amount 314b, Appraised Value 314c, Loan to Value (%) 314d, and Special Use 314e; and Others 315, including rows for Liquidation of Business Assets to Total Facilities 315a, Liquidation of Personal Assets to Total Facilities 315b, and Full Amortization Debt Service (If Applicable) 315c. The user may select the button to Close 316 when data input is finished.

25 In Figure 14, if the user selects Assessment 170 from the Analyze Data 72 pulldown menu, a window 320 appears, as shown in Figure 18. The window 320 allows the user to input information regarding Assessment. The information may be inputted into lists and a box. The first list contains information on Requests and

Counteroffers 321, including information on Counter Offer Name 321a, DRM_Value 321b, and Credit Assessment 321c. The user may scroll the list using a toolbar 322. The user may select a button for Analyze 323 to assess a selected item. A second list contains information on Products in Request/Counter Offer 5 324, including a selection for Borrower 324a, and list items for Product 324b, Amount 324c, Rate 324d, Amortization 324e, Maturity Date 324f, and Status 324g. The user may scroll the list using a toolbar 325. After the user has selected the button for Analyze 323, the system provides information into a box for Analysis Results 326, including Analysis Status 326a, which tells the user if the 10 user has entered additional data since performing the analysis, Decision Recommendation 326b, which is the system's recommendation, Credit Quality 326c, containing the system credit assessment and rating, DRM 326d, which is the obligor risk rating, ROA 326e, Debt Service Coverage 326f, Conversion of Assets Coverage 326g, Liquidation of Business Assets Coverage 326h, and Personal 15 Asset Coverage 326i. The user may also select buttons for DRM 327, Credit Assessment 328, and Profitability 329. The user may select the button to Close 330 when finished.

In Figure 18, if the user selects the button for Credit Assessment 328, a window 340 appears, as shown in Figure 19. The window 340 indicates the 20 Decision Recommendation 341, and provides information on Credit Quality 342, Rating 343, Overrides 344 that have been triggered, RAC Fails 345, Red Flags 346, Underwriting Guideline Fails 347, and other details 348. The details 348 include a system created narrative summary of strengths and weaknesses, by level of importance. In addition, the window 340 contains a Subjectivity Report 349, 25 which provides a summary of subjective information making up the assessment, and a box of information regarding Top Level Summary 350 in tabular format of the nine major risk categories, which include columns for Assessment 350a and Rating 350b, with rows for Compliance 350c, Loan Structure 350d, Company

Background 350e, Industry 350f, and Banking Relationship 350g; a second set of columns for Assessment 350h and Rating 350i correspond to rows for Character 350j, Information Quality 350k, Sources of Repayment 350m, and Financial Condition 350n. The user may select buttons for Explanations 351 or View Graph 352; or the user may select the button to Close 353 the window 340.

In Figure 19, if the user selects the button for Explanations 351, a pop-up window 355 appears, as shown in Figure 20. This pop-up window 355 contains sample explanatory information. The user may select the button to Close 356 the window 355.

10 In Figure 19, if the user selects the button for View Graph 352, a pop-up window appears, as shown in Figure 21. Once the view graph information import is complete, a pop-up window 360 appears, which prompts the user that the import and preprocessing is complete. The user may select from a pop-up window 360 the button for OK 361a to then view window 360. The window 360 also includes
15 a series of shortcut buttons on a toolbar 362. These buttons include Short Overview 362a, which opens the node browser overview window, Flip View Left/Right 362b, which toggles the node view from right to left or top to bottom, Set Source Node 362c, which sets the selected node to the source node and recalculates the display, View Parents 362d, which toggles the generation of parent
20 objects in the node browser, View Children 362e, which toggles the generation of child objects in the node browser, View Cousins 362f, which toggles the generation of cousins in the node browser, and Refresh 362g, which recalculates the display based on the current object model. (The credit assessment portion of the system is described further below.)

25 Figure 22 presents the pulldown menu for Complete Approval Package 73. The pulldown menu includes CAS 370, Credit Memo 371, and Print Package Components 372. As shown in Figure 22, if the user selects CAS 370 from the Complete Approval Package 73 pulldown menu, a window 380 appears, as shown

in Figure 23. The window 380 allows the user to view Credit Approval Summary information. This information includes a box for Credit Approval Summary 381, including New 381a, Increase 381b, Review 381c, Revision 381d, Extension 381e, Classification 381f, and Cancellation 381g. The user may enter information
5 regarding Relationship Name 382, Approving Unit B&P Credit Center Branch 383, Adverse Classification 384, Date 385, Revision Date 386, Previous Report Date 387, Primary Business Address 388, and Business and SIC 389. The window 380 contains a box for Facilities 390, which includes Total Facilities 390a, a list containing Product 390b, Amount 390c, and net Change 390d, and a toolbar 390e
10 for the user to scroll the list. The window 380 contains a second box for Key Background Information 391, including information on Business Since 391a, Borrower Since 391b, Depositor Since 391c, FYE 391d, Sales 391e, Employees 391f, CPA 391g, and Quality 391h. The user may select buttons to View Report 392 and to Close 393.

15 In Figure 22, if the user continues scrolling down the page, the next part of the window 380 appears, as shown in Figure 24. Information in this part of the window 380 includes PCE 395, ROA 396, Critical RACs 397, Profitability RACs 398, and Target Market/Other RACs 399, and comment boxes for Purpose of the Report 400 and Description of Non-B&P Facilities 401. The user may select
20 buttons to View Report 392 and to Close 393.

In Figure 24, if the user continues scrolling down the page, the next part of the window 380 appears, as shown in Figure 25. Information in this part of the window 380 includes Description of Total Facilities and Security 405, which includes a list of Borrowers 406, comprising Name 406a and Tax ID 406b, which
25 the user may scroll using a toolbar 407; a comment box for Description of Other Security 408, which the user may scroll using a toolbar 409; a list of Products 410, comprising Product 410a and Amount 410b, which the user may scroll using a

toolbar 411; and a box for Comments 412. The user may select buttons to View Report 392 and to Close 393.

In Figures 23-25, if the user selects the button for View Report 392, a window 420 appears, as shown in Figure 26. This window 420 contains
5 information on Credit Approval in a report format; in the example as shown in Figure 26, the window 420 shows page 1 of 3. The window 420 includes a toolbar 421 for the user to apply to the report, which includes a button for Close 422.

In Figure 22, if the user selects Credit Memo 371 from the Complete Approval Package 73 pulldown menu, a window 430 appears, as shown in Figure
10 27. The window 430 allows the user to view or input Credit Memo information, including Relationship Name 431, Responsible Officer 432, and Date 433; Summary and Conclusion information 434, including Debt Service Coverage 434a, Liquidation Coverage 434b, Conversion of Business Assets 434c, Personal Asset Coverage 434d, and Full Amortization DSC 434e; Expert System
15 Assessment information 435, including Decision Recommendation 435a, Credit Quality Assessment 435b, Rating 435c, a comment box for Any Factors that the System Did Not Evaluate 435d, and a comment box for Key Strengths/Weaknesses 435e. The user may select buttons to View Report 436 or Close 437.

20 In Figure 27, if the use continues to scroll down the window 430, the next part of the window 430 appears, as shown in Figure 28. The next part of the window allows the user to view or update information regarding Banking Relationship 440, including Depositor Since 440a, Line/BRC Clean Up Required? 440b, Borrower Since 440c, Clean Up Accomplished 440d, # Late Citibank
25 Payments (12 mo.) 440e, and Clean Up Satisfactory? 440f; Expert System Assessment Information 441, including Banking Relationship Evaluation 441a, Banking Relationship Points 441b, and comment boxes for general comments 441c, and Credit Officer Comments 441d; and Character information 442, which

the user may continue to review or update by scrolling down the window 430. The user may select buttons to View Report 436 or Close 437.

In Figures 27 or 28, if the user selects the button for View Report 436, a window 450 appears, as shown in Figure 29. This window 450 contains Credit
5 Memo information in a report format; in the example as shown in Figure 29, the window 450 shows page 1 of 7. The window 450 includes a toolbar 451 for the user to apply to the report, which includes a button for Close 452.

Figure 30 presents the pulldown menu options for Administration 64, which include MIS Reports 460, MIS Queries 461, and Table Changes 462.
10 Selection of the Table Changes 462 option produces a submenu that includes Accountant Firms 463, Accountant 464, Branch List 465, Departments 466, Employee 467, Product List 468, Profitability Products 469, Profitability Spread 470, Name Prefix 471, Name Suffix 472, SIC List 473, and Zip Codes 474.

As shown in Figure 31, the user may select Open 480 from the File
15 Management 63 pulldown menu to open an existing file, and the user may select Exit 482 to exit the system. If the user selects Change Status 481, a window 490 appears, as shown in figure 32. The window 490 contains a box for Status as of Today 491, including Approved 491a, Declined 491b, Booked 491c, and Withdrawn/Terminated 491d; and user input areas for Reason for
20 Withdrawal/Termination 492. Two window buttons, Decision Validation 493 and Comments 494 allow additional information input by the user. The window 495 corresponding to Decision Validation 493, as shown in Figure 32, includes Did the System Recommend the Correct Decision for the Deal Structure and Amount Last Reviewed? 495a; How Would You Rate the System's Accuracy in Terms of
25 Identifying and Weighing the Strengths and Weaknesses? 495b; How Would You Rate the System's Completeness in Terms of Containing the Key Factors You Analyzed in the Deal? 495c; How Would You Judge the Difficulty in Analyzing This Deal From a Credit Perspective Taking Into Account Loan and Corporate

Structure, Industry Type, Key Profile and Credit Characteristics? 495d; What Was Your Assessment of the Quality of This Credit Request Based on the Final Deal Structure That You and the Expert System Considered? 495e; and How Would You Judge the Adequacy of the Features of the Program Provided to Help You Analyze the Company [Start to Finish] and Prepare an Approved Package? 495f. The user may select buttons to Save 496 or Cancel 497 inputted information.

In Figure 32, if the user selects the window button for Comments 494, a window 500 appears, as shown in Figure 33. This window 500 includes comment areas for Describe the Item(s) Missing From the Expert System Analysis and Its Impact on the Analysis 500a; and Describe the Incorrect System Weights/Analysis 500b. As in Figure 31, the user may Save 496 or Cancel 497 inputted information.

As noted, an embodiment of the expert system risk assessment component includes a computerized evidence tree that assists a user with evaluating loan applications and making decisions. The evidence tree serves as an engine for a number of functions, including determining an overall credit recommendation and identifying specific strengths and weaknesses relating to the application. The evidence tree may be viewed by the user in any of three modes. In the first mode, the system presents the evidence tree elements to the user by branches simply by the name of the node or factor. (Note: elements of the evidence tree above the lowest level of the tree (lower levels are to the right as shown in the figures) are referred to as "nodes"; the elements of the lowest level of the evidence tree are referred to as "factors"; a branch is a series of connected nodes and/or factors.) In the second mode, the evidence tree includes nodes and factors containing information about the range of possible values (i.e., weights) that are associated with those nodes and factors and the range of possible verbal descriptors, or tags (e.g., Maximum, Excellent, Good, Average, Marginal, Poor, Minimum weights). In the third mode, the evidence tree includes nodes and factors containing weights,

flags, tags, colors, and other markers indicating to the user information about that node or factor for a particular scenario being assessed.

The expert system includes automatically analyzing data using the evidence tree, which includes preprocessing data to align evidence tree elements based on the profile of the loan application, automatically analyzing inputted data in preparation for input to evidence tree elements, automatically executing a debt rating model, automatically evaluating the evidence tree elements based on the weights assigned and the inputted data, automatically determining a risk rating, automatically determining an overall risk rating to the obligor, automatically calculating the relationship profitability after all direct and indirect expenses, automatically producing an explanation of strengths and weaknesses of the loan application based on inputs to and results of evidence tree analysis, and automatically making a loan recommendation.

Figures 34-35 show sample elements of an evidence tree process for the risk component in the first mode, which contains no weights. Figures 36-37 show an evidence tree process for sample information in the second mode, which includes simple example weights and the range of possible verbal descriptors. Figures 38-40 show additional branches, nodes, and factors of the evidence tree as incorporated into the system in the third mode, with such features as flags and tagged information.

Figure 34 shows the first node and branches of an evidence tree process. A window 510 contains a tree for making a Decision 511, with the nodes for nine branches. These nodes include Compliance 512, Loan Structure 513, Banking Relationship 514, Character 515, Information Quality 516, Repayment Sources 517, Financial Condition 518, Company Background 519, and Industry Outlook 520. The nodes are organized into logical groupings, and other concepts may be freely added. Buttons 521a-521g at the top of the window 510 allow alteration in

views of the model, vertically and horizontally oriented. The Type 522a pulldown menu allows viewing as a tree, a tree with options, or an actual case.

Figure 35 shows the branch for the Repayment Sources (517 in Figure 34) node. A window 530 shows the Decision 511 with the Repayment Sources 517
5 branch selected. The nodes for Repayment Sources 517 include Primary 531, Secondary 532, Tertiary 533, and Independency 534. The Secondary 532 branch further depends on nodes for Secondary coverage 535 and Combination 536.

Figure 36 shows the first node and branches for that node of the evidence tree process with example nominal weightings to illustrate the operation of the
10 system and method of the present invention. A window 540 contains a tree for making a Decision 541, with nine branches containing nodes. These nodes include Compliance 542, Loan Structure 543, Banking Relationship 544, Character 545, Information Quality 546, Repayment Sources 547, Financial Condition 548, Company Background 549, and Industry Outlook 550. Within each of these nodes
15 are weighting factors, rules, and functions. For the Decision 541, overall score can range from a maximum of 19, which is a total of each of the maximums for the nine nodes, to a minimum of -75, which is a total of each of the minimums for the nine nodes. An excellent score is 2, a good score is 1, an average score is 0, a marginal score is -1, and a poor score is -2.

20 Note that each node of the evidence tree contains verbal descriptors of the "buckets" for rating. Positive weights for descriptors indicate "pros" for rating, and negative weights indicate "cons." Relative importance of weights are reflected in the ranges produced within each box. Also note that, in this example with dummy data, both positive and negative weightings for repayment sources
25 547 carry heavier weights than the financial condition 548 node because the repayment source 547 node relates to actual amount of credit requested to key cash flow and asset coverage analysis, and therefore is more important to the overall decision.

For Compliance 542, the maximum score is 0, a satisfactory score is 0, a marginal score is -1, an unsatisfactory score is -2, and a minimum score is -3. For Loan Structure 543, the maximum score is 0, satisfactory is 0, unsatisfactory is -1, and the minimum is -2. For Banking Relationship 544, the maximum is 2, good is 1, average is 0, poor is -1, and the minimum is -5.

For Character 545, the maximum is 2, good is 1, marginal is -1, poor is -3, and the minimum is -10. For Information Quality 546, the maximum is 2, satisfactory is 2, marginal is 0, unsatisfactory is -10, and the minimum is -30. For Repayment Sources 547, the maximum is 5, excellent is 5, good is 3, average is 2, marginal is -5, poor is -10, and the minimum is -10.

For Financial Condition 548, the maximum is 3, excellent is 3, good is 2, average is 1, marginal is -2, poor is -3, and the minimum is -5. For Company Background 549, the maximum is 3, good is 3, average is 0, poor is -5, and the minimum is -10. For Industry Outlook 550, the maximum is 2, satisfactory is 2, unsatisfactory is -5, and the minimum is -10.

Figure 37 shows the branches of the Repayment Sources (547 in Figure 36) node. A window 560 shows the Repayment Sources 547 node and branches selected. The branches for Repayment Sources 547 include Primary 561, Secondary 562, Tertiary 563, and Independency 564. For Primary 561, excellent is 5, good is 3, average is 1, marginal is -5, and poor is -6. For Secondary, the maximum is 3, excellent is 3, good is 2, average is 1, marginal is -3, poor is -4, and the minimum is -9. For Tertiary, excellent is 3, good is 2, average is 1, marginal is 0, and poor is 0. For Independency 564, yes is 0 and no is -1.

The Secondary 562 node further depends on nodes for Secondary coverage 565 and Combination 566. For Secondary coverage 565, excellent is 3, good is 2, average is 1, marginal is -2, and poor is -4. For Combination 566, no is 0 and yes is -5.

Figures 38-40 provide examples of additional details provided in conjunction with the evidence tree as viewed by the user using the third mode of viewing. In this mode, flags, tags, colors, and other signals reflecting analyzed data as entered are presented.

5 Figure 38 presents a window containing more detail for a selected sample branch with a series of nodes and the factors at the lowest level. This example presents a window 570 containing dummy information for the node of Credit assessment 571. Nodes for Credit assessment 571 include Financial condition 572, and Leverage 573. Leverage 573 further depends on factors for Projected leverage
10 574, Interim leverage 575, Last leverage 576, Previous leverage 577, Leverage volatility 578, and Leverage to industry 579. Example tags and information are included for these factors. For example, the Credit assessment factor contains a textual tag for option 571a, which is Good and the factor's value 571b, which is 3. Note also that a flag F 571c and an E 571d are included for this factor. The color
15 of the flag F 571c indicates its meaning.

 If the F 571c is red, it signals a red flag; if it is white, it signals a white flag. A red flag constitutes an extremely negative weight or other element; a white flag signals an extremely positive weight or other element. For example, a white flag could be issued with respect to the length of the relationship the loan applicant has
20 had with the particular bank. The system may assign weighting to the length of the relationship, with a maximum of some number of months, such as 36. Positive points will be assigned incrementally for lengths of time greater than 0 up to a maximum at 36. If the applicant has had an extremely long relationship with the bank, such as 120 months, this would not be reflected to any greater degree in the
25 weighting than 36 months. In order to account for this additional "extremely positive" aspect of the relationship, a white flag could be automatically issued, such as for all relationships exceeding 60 months.

These flags are intended to assist the credit officer during the evaluation stage. For example, a large number of white flags may help the credit officer determine that a decline should be overridden; a large number of red flags may signal a decline should occur, even though the system recommendation may be
5 Approve.

In Figure 38, the E 571d indicates that an explanation is available for this node if the E 571d is highlighted in white. The explanation provides key data elements or key explanations of importance to the system operation. If the user selects the E 571d, an explanation pop-up window will appear (similar to pop-up
10 window 584, shown in Figure 39).

Figure 39 contains more details regarding some of these flags and other tags. Figure 39 presents a window 575 with factors for the node for Compliance 576. These factors include Legal structure 577, Business location 578, Sales Size 579, Security position 580, Industry 581, Know your customer 582, and Industry
15 referral 583. In this example, the user has selected the explanation E 577a within the factor for Legal structure 577, which has produced the pop-up window 584. Note that the factors contain textual information regarding the values they contain; for example, the Legal structure 577 branch indicates that the value 577b is In-target 577c. Another flag presented in this window is the RAC failure flag (a RAC
20 is a policy criterion). For example, within the Legal structure factor 577, an R 577d is included. If the R 577d is highlighted (e.g., appears in yellow), it signals a RAC failure. Similarly, factors and nodes can contain a highlighted O indicating that an Overriding Rule has been triggered; for example, the Know your customer factor contains an O 582a, which indicates an Overriding Rule has been triggered
25 for this factor. Note also that this factor contains a textual indication that it is a Subjective 582b factor or is based on subjective information.

Figure 40 contains a window 590 presenting a sample section of an evidence tree with additional details highlighted. The window 590 shows a branch

for the Decision node (571 in Figure 38), including the node for Financial condition (572 in Figure 38) and its factors, Sales trend 591, Profitability 592, Liquidity 593, Leverage (573 in Figure 38), and Operating cash flow 594.

Figures 41-44 illustrate several example methods that weighing factors may be totaled for analysis purposes and ways of viewing these analyses. An embodiment includes simply summing the values of various nodes or factors in a particular part of the evidence tree. Figure 41 illustrates value totaling at a node that does not result from simple addition of the nodes and/or risk factors below that node. In Figure 41, a window 600 contains a node for customer concentration 601. The node for customer concentration 601 is the result of the worst node below it. In this example, customer concentration 601 has a value 601a of -14 points because it selected the customer concentration risk factor that was the most negative, from the factor for One customer concentration 602, with a value 602a of -14.

Figure 42 demonstrates two other methods of how weights are propagated up the evidence tree. Figure 42 contains a window 610 with a node for Non mortgage secondary coverage 611. In this example, the node for Non mortgage secondary coverage 611 used the best value from a node and/or factor below it to obtain its value. Here, the value selected was based on the factor for Distress coverage 612, which has a value 612a of 10 points; the factor of Liquidation coverage 613, with value 613a, was not the basis used because its value was less than 10 points (9.30307 points). Figure 42 also illustrates another aspect of an embodiment for totaling weighting values, the application of an additional modifier to the value selected. Here, the modifier of 0.72 was applied to the best factor and/or node selected value (10 points) to produce final weight of 7.2 points for the Non mortgage secondary coverage 611 value 611a. The modifier in this case (72%) was derived from the fact that 72% of the facilities requested by the customer in this case were non-mortgage related.

Figure 43 demonstrates that some factors assessed by a risk factor may not be applicable in a particular case. If a particular factor is not applicable, it receives an "N/A" signal. This helps clarify to the user why no value is contained within that factor, since use of another value such as a blank would signal to the user less information about the value actually used. In Figure 44, a window 620 contains nodes 621, 622, and 623, and factors 624, 625, and 626. Note that the factor for Current ratio 624, contains N/A 624a instead of an option.

Figure 44 presents another feature of an embodiment of the invention. This feature allows the user, when viewing the graphical interface, to enter modified data directly into the risk factors and view the result immediately. Figure 44 contains a window 630 presenting this feature. The window 630, contains nodes 631 and 632, and factors 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, and 639. If the data for Business location 634 is changed from in-target to out-target, this result appears in the factor (as shown for the data 634a in factor Business location 634 in Figure 44). In this example, this change produces a corresponding change in the node for Compliance 632 from satisfactory to unsatisfactory 632a, as shown in Figure 44, and in the node for Credit assessment 631 to very poor 631a, with the result that the credit decision becomes a decline. The benefits of allowing such changes are that the user, such as a credit officer, can modify data in a temporary way without entering it into the data base and obtain a better understanding of the reasoning process in the system.

An addition aspect of an embodiment of the invention is the use of color in conjunction with values associated with each node or factor. The following colors are used: green indicates a very positive value; blue is a positive value; gray signals an indifferent value; yellow identifies a negative value; and red indicates a very negative value.

Subjective factors are designated by the system operator, and, as noted, as these factors are inputted by the user, their values are highlighted as part of the

loan analysis report. An embodiment of the system includes a designation for each question as to whether the information is of a subjective nature. An example of a subjective question could include "How do you view the quality of management at the applicant institution?" The answers that the user could input could include

5 "excellent," "average," and "poor." Each of these answers would have a weighting value associated with it that would affect the system rating. However, each of these answers also is subjective and depends on the user's unquantified determination. In the report phase, the system tallies all of the subjective points and places the tally within a total range of possible subjective scores. For

10 example, the report could indicate that 100 points were awarded for all subjective factors; the minimum that could have been awarded was -200 points; the maximum that could have been awarded was 120 points; average is 0 points; good is 20 points; poor is -50 points. One of the purposes of tracking subjective points is to evaluate the "pessimism" or "optimism" of the user, who generally will be a

15 credit officer.

Further, key information from the last permanent file of results from the assessment model is also available in summary form as a menu option as a "view only" report that includes decision weighting result and recommendation, primary strengths and weaknesses identified; and officer comments on strengths and

20 weakness and recommendation.

Historic loan assessments are retrievable even after a change in the assessment model or expert system program has occurred. In this way decisions made several years ago under prior model versions can be recreated and viewed if necessary.

25 After a loan has been either approved, declined or withdrawn all data is marked as a permanent file that can no longer be revised. This assures data integrity. Data for a loan decision that is being processed can be filed as work in process prior to a decision point.

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for a user to process a loan application for an applicant, comprising the steps of:
 - said user entering loan products requested;
 - 5 said user entering data relating to said application into a data management system;
 - said data management system automatically checking said data for completeness;
 - said data management system automatically generating relevant documents
 - 10 for said applicant relating to said application;
 - said data management system automatically transmitting said data to an expert analysis system;
 - said expert analysis system automatically assessing and recommending a loan decision using an assessment model and said data; and
 - 15 if the loan application is approved, automatically generating a set of documents and other forms relating to a loan, and transmitting applicant information from said expert analysis system to said data management system.
2. The method of claim 1 further including the steps of:
 - 20 said expert analysis system automatically searching publicly available databases for information relevant to said application; and
 - automatically inputting said publicly available information into said data management system.
- 25 3. The method of claim 2 wherein said publicly available databases include at least one option selected from the group consisting of credit bureau agencies, UCC, litigation, and lien information.

4. The method of claim 1 further including the step of, if the loan is declined, automatically generating a decline letter.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein said set of documents comprises approval documents.

6. The method of claim 1 wherein said set of documents comprises legal documents.

7. The method of claim 1 wherein said assessing and recommending step includes automatically analyzing financial records.

8. The method of claim 1 wherein said assessing and recommending step includes automatically assigning a debt rating.

9. The method of claim 1 wherein said assessing and recommending step includes automatically identifying sources of repayment.

10. The method of claim 1 wherein said data relating to an application comprises demographic, business, banking, products, industry, business financial, personal, and personal financial information, and subjective evaluations.

11. The method of claim 1 wherein said step of assessing and recommending comprises the steps of:

automatically preprocessing prior to execution to align evidence tree elements based on the profile of said loan application;

automatically analyzing inputted data in preparation for input to evidence tree elements;

automatically inputting said analyzed inputted data into evidence tree elements;

automatically executing a debt rating model;

automatically evaluating the evidence tree elements based on the weights

5 assigned, the rules, and the inputted data;

automatically determining a risk rating for said loan products requested;

automatically determining an overall risk rating to the obligor;

automatically calculating the relationship profitability after all direct and indirect expenses;

10 automatically producing an explanation of strengths and weaknesses of the loan application based on inputs to and results of evidence tree analysis; and automatically making a loan recommendation.

12. The method of claim 11 wherein said loan recommendation includes
15 at least one option selected from the group consisting of approve, decline, defer, and neutral, includes a grading of at least one option selected from the group consisting of excellent, good, fair, marginal, poor, and very poor, and includes an overall rating.

20 13. The method of claim 11 wherein said explanations comprises narrative text.

14. The method of claim 11 wherein said strengths are listed prior to said weaknesses for an approved loan application.

25

15. The method of claim 11 wherein said weaknesses are listed prior to said strengths for a declined loan application.

16. A method for an expert analysis system to assist a user with making a loan decision based on inputted data, comprising the steps of:

automatically preprocessing to align evidence tree elements and assign weights based on the profile of said loan application;

5 automatically analyzing inputted data in preparation for input to evidence tree elements;

automatically inputting said analyzed inputted data into evidence tree elements;

automatically executing a debt rating model;

10 automatically evaluating the evidence tree elements based on the weights assigned and the inputted data;

automatically determining a risk rating;

automatically determining an overall risk rating to the obligor;

15 automatically calculating the relationship profitability after all direct and indirect expenses;

automatically producing an explanation of strengths and weaknesses of the loan application based on inputs to and results of evidence tree analysis; and
automatically making a loan recommendation.

20 17. The method of claim 16 wherein said evaluating step includes adding values assigned to evidence tree factors and nodes.

18. The method of claim 16 wherein said evaluating step includes selecting the largest numeric value assigned to evidence tree factors and nodes.

25

19. The method of claim 18 further including the step of applying a numeric modifier.

20. The method of claim 19 wherein said modifier is a percentage.
21. The method of claim 16 wherein said evaluating step includes selecting the smallest numeric value assigned to evidence tree factors and nodes.
- 5 22. The method of claim 16 further comprising the step of saving data from said loan application.
23. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
- 10 inputting data for multiple scenarios;
automatically producing results for multiple scenarios;
automatically presenting to the user said results; and
automatically producing approval documents for said multiple scenarios.
- 15 24. The method of claim 16 further comprising the step of linking to a database system for data extraction.
25. The method of claim 19 wherein said database system comprises a mainframe computer system.
- 20 26. The method of claim 19 wherein said database system comprises a local area network.
27. The method of claim 19 wherein said database system comprises a
- 25 wide area network.
28. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:

identifying risk acceptance criteria and underwriting guidelines for evidence tree elements; and

automatically providing a flag within the system identifying said risk acceptance criteria and said underwriting guidelines as at least one option selected
5 from the group consisting of pass and fail.

29. The method of claim 28 wherein said flag comprises a highlighted symbol.

10 30. The method of claim 28 wherein said overriding rule comprises a factor in an evidence tree branch.

31. The method of claim 28 wherein said overriding rule comprises a node in an evidence tree branch.

15

32. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
automatically identifying an exceptionally negative factor within the evidence tree; and

automatically providing a list of extreme negative factors that includes said
20 identified extreme negative factor.

33. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
automatically identifying an exceptionally positive factor; and
automatically providing a list of exceptionally positive factors that includes
25 said identified exceptionally positive factor.

34. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
automatically identifying that an overriding rule has been triggered; and

automatically providing a flag to the user that an overriding rule has been triggered.

35. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
5 automatically determining by said expert system that no data has been entered for an overriding rule;
automatically determining by said expert system that no data has been entered for an evidence tree element; and
providing to the user indication that no data was entered for an evidence
10 tree element for which no data has been entered.

36. The method of claim 16 further comprising the step of tagging factors.

15 37. The method of claim 36 wherein said tagging includes color tagging.

38. The method of claim 36 wherein said tagging includes verbal tagging.
20

39. The method of claim 36 wherein said tagging includes textual tagging.

40. The method of claim 36 wherein said tagging includes symbol
25 tagging.

41. The method of claim 36 wherein said tagging includes numeric tagging.

42. The method of claim 16 further comprising the step of allowing the user to view color tags, verbal tags, textual, symbol, and numeric tags, and real-time propagation of the evaluating step.

5

43. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
tagging each evidence tree element for which an explanations is available;
and
allowing the user to select an option to view said explanation.

10

44. The method of claim 16 further comprising the step of automatically providing a list of factors that were not evaluated where an incomplete set of input data has been inputted by said user.

15

45. The method of claim 16 further comprising the steps of:
automatically identifying qualitative factors in the evidence tree;
automatically combining said qualitative factors; and
automatically providing said user with the total of said qualitative factors
and a range of possible qualitative factors.

20

46. The method of claim 45 wherein said qualitative factors comprise subjective factors.

47. The method of claim 45 wherein said qualitative factors include
25 identified factors in an evidence tree.

48. The method of claim 45 wherein said combining step includes summing numeric values associated with evidence tree branches.

49. The method of claim 16 wherein said loan recommendation includes at least one option selected from the group consisting of Approve, Decline, Neutral, Refer, and Defer.

5

50. The method of claim 16 wherein said step of producing a description includes the steps of:

automatically tagging selected factors of the evidence tree;

automatically collecting said tagged factors;

10 automatically combining said tagged factors; and

automatically assigning narrative information to said combined tagged factors.

51. The method of claim 16 further including the steps of:

15 automatically identifying a decision tree element that is not applicable to the evidence tree analysis evaluation; and

automatically replacing said inputted data in evidence tree elements with a textual symbol.

20 52. The method of claim 51 wherein said textual symbol is "N/A".

53. A method for a user to process data relating to a loan application for an applicant, comprising the steps of:

entering by said user of data relating to said application;

25 automatically transmitting said data to an expert analysis system;

automatically inputting results received from said expert analysis system;

automatically analyzing said data and said results; and

automatically displaying key factors associated with said results.

54. The method of step 53 further comprising the steps of:
automatically identifying suggested alternative possible evaluation
outcomes for nodes and factors; and
5 automatically displaying said alternative outcomes.

55. The method of claim 53 further comprising the step of displaying
said nodes and factors without alternative outcomes.

10 56. The method of claim 53 further comprising the step of displaying
said nodes and factors without tags and flags.

57. The method of claim 53 wherein said key factors comprise
qualitative evaluation by said user, including at least one option selected from the
15 group consisting of satisfactory and unsatisfactory for supplier risk, and at least
one option selected from the group consisting of high, medium, and low for
customer stability.

58. A system for a user to process a loan application by an applicant,
20 comprising:
means for entering by said user of data relating to said application into a
data management system;
means for said data management system to check automatically said data;
means for said data management system to generate automatically relevant
25 documents for said applicant relating to said application;
means for said data management system to transmit automatically said data
to an expert analysis system;

means for said expert analysis system to assess and recommend
automatically a loan decision by using an assessment model and said data; and
means for said expert analysis system to transmit automatically applicant
information to said data management system.

5

59. The system of claim 58 further comprising:

means for searching by said expert analysis system of publicly available
databases for information, including UCC, litigation, and lien information relevant
to said application; and

10 means for inputting automatically said publicly available information into
said expert analysis system.

60. The system of claim 58 further comprising means for generating
automatically a decline letter.

15

61. The system of claim 58 wherein said means for checking,
generating, searching, assessing, filing, and recommending comprise computing
means.




Figure 16

Underwriting





Figure 1c

Documentation & Booking



W) Trade check is reviewed Bank check is performed

X) Based on deal approval information input from Expert System, ACAPS identifies typical documentation required.

Y) Service Officer generates legal documents from ACAPS.

Z) Credit Officer reviews documents and forwards to customer.

AA) Date documents sent are recorded directly into ACAPS screens.

BB) Upon receiving documents from customer they are reviewed to insure proper execution.

CC) Loan booking forms are prepared by Service Officer.


DD) UCC filing is ordered on-line through Intercounty Clearance.

EE) Booking forms are FAXED to NY Loan Operations or San Antonio.

FF) Funds are disbursed by loan group.

GG) Booking is verified by NY Credit Center.

HH) Key demographic, business and personal financial, and subjective risk factors are sent from Expert System to ACAPS.







Figure 3a

CITIBANK

B&P EXPERT CREDIT SYSTEM PROJECT

SYSTEM OVERVIEW



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CITIBANK

B&P EXPERT CREDIT SYSTEM PROJECT

Figure 36

EXPERT SYSTEM PORTABILITY




Figure 4
Expert Credit System New Deal Entry Summary






FIGURE 5




(C) 1997 Citibank N.A. All Rights Reserved.
 Citibank N.A. U.S. Pat. Pending.

FIGURE 6



4/11/1997

FIGURE 7



60




FIGURE 8





FIGURE 9



—60



FIGURE 10




FIGURE 11

Loan Analysis For ABC Corporation Group
 File Management Input Data Analyze Data Complete Approval Package View Reports Administration Help

Set Relationship Businesses

Available Keys: Name (111) Search Text (112)

110 114 60

Name	Tax Id	Business Type
Aardvark Information Group	457357493	
Aaron Manufacturing	578393858	
ABC Operations, Inc.	238472983	Primary + Corporate Guarantor
	727342734	Related Borrower + Corporate Guarantor

115

Primary

Set Delete (115)

Corporate Guarantor

Set Delete (117)

Related Borrower

Set Delete (116)

Relationship Details

Set Delete (119)

119

Start End Windows Microsoft PowerPoint - [P...] Windows NT Task Manager Loans 4/11/1997 11:45 AM

FIGURE 12

120 Relationship Details 121 Relationship Profile 122 Customer / Suppliers 123 Asset History 124 Liability History 125 Business Checks 126 Financial Information Quality

127 Sales

127a Largest Customer 35 127b Second Largest Customer 17 127c Third Largest Customer 7

128 Major Customer AAA Supplier, Inc. 127d

129 Taking Customer Concentration Into Account

129a Customer Quality High 129b Customer Stability Average 129c Customer's geographic concentration Regional

129d Calculate 129e Conversion Factor On Receivables 129f Liquidation Factor On Receivables

130 Purchases

130a Largest Supplier 26 130b Supplier Risk Satisfactory 130c Access To Many Different Suppliers? Yes No

131 Industry

131a Industry Prospects Stable 131b Competitive Environment Satisfactory 131c Regulatory Environment Satisfactory

132 Save 133 Cancel


Start Exit Windows Microsoft PowerPoint - IP... Windows NT Task Manager Loans 11:45 AM

BEST AVAILABLE COPY


FIGURE 13

Business Financials										Income Statement Related Income Statement Assets Liabilities and Net Worth Assessment Ratio									
Income Statement										Related Income Statement									
Assets										Liabilities and Net Worth									
Assessment Ratio																			

FIGURE 14



BEST AVAILABLE COPY



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 18

320

Assessment

321 Requests & Counter Offers

322

323

324 Products in Request / Counter Offer

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 19

341
342
343

Decision Recommendation
GOOD
Rating 3.0

344
345

346
347

348
349

350a
350b

350c
350d

350e
350f

350g
350h

350
351

352
353

354
355

356
357

358
359

360
361

362
363

364
365

366
367

368
369

370
371

372
373

374
375

376
377

378
379

380
381

382
383

384
385

386
387

388
389

390
391

392
393

394
395

396
397

398
399

400
401

402
403

404
405

406
407

408
409

410
411

412
413

414
415

416
417

418
419

420
421

422
423

424
425

426
427

428
429

430
431

432
433

434
435

436
437

438
439

440
441

442
443

444
445

446
447

448
449

450
451

452
453

454
455

456
457

458
459

460
461

462
463

464
465

466
467

468
469

470
471

472
473

474
475

476
477

478
479

480
481

482
483

484
485

486
487

488
489

490
491

492
493

494
495

496
497

498
499

500
501

502
503

504
505

506
507

508
509

510
511

512
513

514
515

516
517

518
519

520
521

522
523

524
525

526
527

528
529

530
531

532
533

534
535

536
537

538
539

540
541

542
543

544
545

546
547

548
549

550
551

552
553

554
555

556
557

558
559

560
561

562
563

564
565

566
567

568
569

570
571

572
573

574
575

576
577

578
579

580
581

582
583

584
585

586
587

588
589

590
591

592
593

594
595

596
597

598
599

600
601

602
603

604
605

606
607

608
609

610
611

612
613

614
615

616
617

618
619

620
621

622
623

624
625

626
627

628
629

630
631

632
633

634
635

636
637

638
639

640
641

642
643

644
645

646
647

648
649

650
651

652
653

654
655

656
657

658
659

660
661

662
663

664
665

666
667

668
669

670
671

672
673

674
675

676
677

678
679

680
681

682
683

684
685

686
687

688
689

690
691

692
693

694
695

696
697

698
699

700
701

702
703

704
705

706
707

708
709

710
711

712
713

714
715

716
717

718
719

720
721

722
723

724
725

726
727

728
729

730
731

732
733

734
735

736
737

738
739

740
741

742
743

744
745

746
747

748
749

750
751

752
753

754
755

756
757

758
759

760
761

762
763

764
765

766
767

768
769

770
771

772
773

774
775

776
777

778
779

780
781

782
783

784
785

786
787

788
789

790
791

792
793

794
795

796
797

798
799

800
801

802
803

804
805

806
807

808
809

810
811

812
813

814
815

816
817

818
819

820
821

822
823

824
825

826
827

828
829

830
831

832
833

834
835

836
837

838
839

840
841

842
843

844
845

846
847

848
849

850
851

852
853

854
855

856
857

858
859

860
861

862
863

864
865

866
867

868
869

870
871

872
873

874
875

876
877

878
879

880
881

882
883

884
885

886
887

888
889

890
891

892
893

894
895

896
897

898
899

900
901

902
903

904
905

906
907

908
909

910
911

912
913

914
915

916
917

918
919

920
921

922
923

924
925

926
927

928
929

930
931

932
933

934
935

936
937

938
939

940
941

942
943

944
945

946
947

948
949

950
951

952
953

954
955

956
957

958
959

960
961

962
963

964
965

966
967

968
969

970
971

972
973

974
975

976
977

978
979

980
981

982
983

984
985

986
987

988
989

990
991

992
993

994
995

996
997

998
999

1000
1001

1002
1003

FIGURE 20

346

Credit Assessment Details			
Decision Record	APPROVE	Credit Quality	Rating
<input type="checkbox"/> Over		GOOD	23 98145
<p>Explanations</p> <p>Credit assessment (level 1) is GOOD (3.0 of 19) because: Repayment sources (level 2) is GOOD (4.7 of 5) and Character (level 2) is GOOD (1.3 of 2) and Banking relationship (level 2) is AVERAGE (.7 of 2) although Company background (level 2) is POOR (-.9 of -.5.0) and Financial condition (level 2) is MARGINAL (-2 of -.5) and Loan structure (level 2) is MARGINAL (-.8 of -.2) and Industry outlook (level 2) is SATISFACTORY and Information quality (level 2) is SATISFACTORY and Compliance (level 2) is SATISFACTORY.</p> <p>Level 2: Repayment sources (level 2) is GOOD because: Additional sources (level 3) is EXCELLENT (2.8 of 5) and Primary source (level 3) is GOOD (1.9 of 3.0) and Collateral (level 3) is NOT-FULLY-SECURED.</p> <p>Company background (level 2) is POOR because Changes (level 3) is MAJOR-CHANGE (-.8 of -.3.0) and Profile (level 3) is POOR (-.1 of -.1.0) although Management (level 3) is SATISFACTORY (0 of 2.5).</p> <p>Character (level 2) is GOOD because Personal (level 3) is SATISFACTORY (.7 of 1.3) and Business Integrity (level 3) is SATISFACTORY (.6 of .7).</p> <p>Financial condition (level 2) is MARGINAL because: Operating cash flow (level 3) is MARGINAL (-1 of -.22.00) and Liquidity (level 3) is POOR (-2 of -.41.74) although Leverage (level 3) is GOOD (1 of 3.25) and Profitability (level 3) is AVERAGE and Sales trend (level 3) is AVERAGE.</p> <p>Banking relationship (level 2) is AVERAGE because: Borrowing history (level 3; weighted 0.50) is EXCELLENT (.7 of 1.5) and Deposit history (level 3; weighted 0.50) is AVERAGE (0 of .5) and Relationship profitability is NA.</p> <p>Level 3: Liquidity (level 3) is POOR because: Operating levels (level 4) is POOR (-1.5 of -.23.10) and Quick ratio (level 4) is POOR (-.5 of -.8.64) and Current ratio (level 4) is 1.49 ratio.</p>			

FIGURE 21




FIGURE 22




FIGURE 23

380

381

382

388

389

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606

1607

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

1635

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

FIGURE 24

380

Credit Approval Summary

PDF - 395

RDA - 396

397 Critical RACs

398 Prohibited RACs

399 Target Market / Other RACs

400

Property QI Report

To request the approval of a new line of credit and mortgage to this prospect

401

Description of New B & P Facility

None

View Report 392

Done 393

Start End Windows Microsoft PowerPoint - [P...] Windows NT Task Manager 2:59 PM

FIGURE 25

Credit Approval Summary

Description Of Total Facilities And Security — 405

406a

Notes	407
ABC Operations, Inc.	238472983
ABC Realty Company	727342734

406b

Description Of Other Security — 408

None

409

Products — 410

Product	Amount	411
Line Of Credit	450	410b

Comments

412

The line will replace the existing \$800M line at Main Street Bank.

View Report

342

343

Date

3:00 PM

Start

End Windows

Microsoft PowerPoint - IP...

Windows NT Task Manager

Loans

FIGURE 27

430

431 Relationship Name

432 Relationship Officer

433 Date

434c Debt Service Coverage

434c Commission Of Buyer's Agent

435c Debt Service Coverage

435a Decision Recommendation

435d Any Factors That The System Did Not Evaluate

435e Key Strengths / Weaknesses

436 View Report

437 Close

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

815

816

817

818

819

820

821

822

823

824

825

826

827

828

829

830

831

832

833

834

835

836

837

838

839

840

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079

1080

1081

1082

1083

1084

1085

1086

1087

1088

1089

1090

1091

1092

1093

1094

1095

1096

1097

1098

1099

1100

1101

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

1129

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136

1137

1138

1139

1140

1141

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

1175

1176

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

1186

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

1221

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

1251

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

1293

1294

1295

1296

1297

1298

1299

1300

1301

1302

1303

1304

1305

1306

1307

1308

1309

1310

1311

1312

1313

1314

1315

1316

1317

1318

1319

1320

1321

1322

1323

1324

1325

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

1338

1339

1340

1341

1342

1343

1344

1345

1346

1347

1348

1349

1350

1351

1352

1353

1354

1355

1356

1357

1358

1359

1360

1361

1362

1363

1364

1365

1366

1367

1368

1369

1370

1371

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

1400

1401

1402

1403

1404

1405

1406

1407

1408

1409

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

1416

1417

1418

1419

1420

1421

1422

1423

1424

1425

1426

1427

1428

1429

1430

1431

1432

1433

1434

1435

1436

1437

1438

1439

1440

1441

1442

1443

1444

1445

1446

1447

1448

1449

1450

1451

1452

1453

1454

1455

1456

1457

1458

1459

1460

1461

1462

1463

1464

1465

1466

1467

1468

1469

1470

1471

1472

1473

1474

1475

1476

1477

1478

1479

1480

1481

1482

1483

1484

1485

1486

1487

1488

1489

1490

1491

1492

1493

1494

1495

1496

1497

1498

1499

1500

1501

1502

1503

1504

1505

1506

1507

1508

1509

1510

1511

1512

1513

1514

1515

1516

1517

1518

1519

1520

1521

1522

1523

1524

1525

1526

1527

1528

1529

1530

1531

1532

1533

1534

1535

1536

1537

1538

1539

1540

1541

1542

1543

1544

1545

1546

1547

1548

1549

1550

1551

1552

1553

1554

1555

1556

1557

1558

1559

1560

1561

1562

1563

1564

1565

1566

1567

1568

1569

1570

1571

1572

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

1596

1597

1598

1599

1600

1601

1602

1603

1604

1605

1606

1607

1608

1609

1610

1611

1612

1613

1614

1615

1616

1617

1618

1619

1620

1621

1622

1623

1624

1625

1626

1627

1628

1629

1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

1635

1636

1637

1638

1639

1640

1641

1642

1643

1644

1645

1646

1647

1648

1649

1650

1651

1652

1653

1654

1655

1656

1657

1658

1659

1660

1661

1662

1663

1664

1665

1666

1667

1668

1669

1670

1671

1672

1673

1674

1675

1676

1677

1678

1679

1680

1681

1682

1683

1684

1685

1686

1687

1688

1689

1690

1691

1692

1693

1694

1695

1696

FIGURE 28

430

Credit Memo

440a Deposits Since 2/1/1981

440b Line / BRC Clean Up Requested? 1

440c Borrowed Since 5/1/1997

440d Clean Up Accomplished? 1

440e Late Charge Payments (12 mo.) 0

440f Clean Up Satisfactory? 1

441 Banking Relationship AVERAGE

441a Banking Relationship Points - 441 .7

441b Banking relationship is AVERAGE (.7 of 2.0) because: Borrowing history is EXCELLENT (.7 of 1.5) and Deposit history is AVERAGE (0 of .5) and Relationship profitability is NA

441c Credit Officer Comments

441d The firm was a long-time customer with Main Street Bank (over 15 years), but is looking for a bank with a wider product offering, overseas expertise, and electronic banking services. They are looking to enroll in business access, and as they expand their overseas sales will ask that L/Cs be confirmed by Citibank, NA

442 Character

436 Number

437 Amount (\$M)

436 View Report

437 Close

Start | End Windows | Microsoft PowerPoint - [P...] | Windows NT Task Manager | Loans | 3:20 PM

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 29

452 450

451

File Edit View Window Help

Microsoft PowerPoint - IP...

End Windows

Slide Show

End Windows

Windows NT Task Manager

Loans

Page 1 of 7 3:24 PM

Credit Memo

Date / Time 4/11/2007 3:22:10 PM

Page 1

Requested Date 4/10/2007

Relationship Name ABC Corporation Group

Responsible Officer Jones Jane

Debt Service Coverage 0.92472

Liquidation Coverage 0.65482

Conversion Of Business Assets 1.14009

Personal Assets Coverage 0.44004

Full Amortization DSC 3.04821

Summary And Conclusion

EXPORT SYSTEM ASSESSMENT

Decision APPROVE

Credit Quality Assessment 0000

Rating 23.08145


Any Factors That The System Did Not Evaluate

Key Strengths / Weaknesses

- Repayment source is GOOD (43.18 of 73.00)
- DSC is 0.92 coverage ratio (0.67 of 0.67)
- Additional source is EXCELLENT (28.00 of 43.00)
- Additional DSC is 3.05 (able to debt payments (0.30 of 0.30))
- Character is GOOD (44.72 of 22.00)
- Banking relationship is AVERAGE (12.00 of 32.00)
- Financial condition is MARGINAL (-22.78 of -120.91)
- Operating level is POOR (-0.08 of -23.40)

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 30



60




FIGURE 31




FIGURE 32

Loan Analysis For ABC Corporation Group
File Management Input Data Analyze Data Complete Approval Packages View Reports Administration Help

Status For Customer Request

491 ☒ Approved — 491 a ☐ Booked — 491 c ☐ Declined — 491 b ☐ Withdrawn / Terminated — 491 d

Reason For Withdrawal / Termination

492

490

493 Decision Validation Comments — 494

495 a Did The System Recommend The Correct Decision For The Deal Structure And Amount Last Reviewed?

☒ Yes ☐ No

495 b How Would You Rate The System's Accuracy In Terms Of Identifying And Weighing The Strengths And Weaknesses?

Accurate

495 c How Would You Rate The System's Completeness In Terms Of Capturing The Key Factors You Analyzed In The Deal?

Somewhat Complete

495 d How Would You Judge The Difficulty In Analyzing This Deal From A Credit Perspective Taking Into Account Loan And Corporate Structure, Industry Type, Key People And Credit Characteristics?

Fairly Easy

495 e What Was Your Assessment Of The Quality Of The Credit Request Based On The Final Deal Structure That You And The Expert System Considered?

Good

495 f How Would You Judge The Adequacy Of The Features Of The Program Provided To Help You Analyze The Company (Start To Finish) And Prepare An Approval Package?

Good

496

Start End Windows Microsoft PowerPoint - IP... Windows NT Task Manager Loans 3:30 PM

FIGURE 33

Loan Analysis For ABC Corporation Group

File Management Input Data Analysis Data Candidate Approval Package View Reports Administration Help

Status For Customer Request

☐ Approved ☐ Declined ☐ Booked ☐ Withdrawn / Terminated

Reason For Withdrawal / Termination

Decision Validation Comments

Describe The Impact Missing From The Input System Analysis And Its Impact On The Analysis

I would review the way the system deals with customer concentration. Perhaps there are other questions that could be asked about alternatives the customer has if a major customer leaves.

Describe The Incorrect System Weights / Analysis

I think the overall weighting is accurate. Possibly the weighting on seasonality should be reviewed.

Start End Windows Microsoft PowerPoint - [P...] Windows NT Task Manager Loans

3:39 PM

FIGURE 34






FIGURE 35



10/21/96

4

FIGURE 36



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FIGURE 37




FIGURE 39

This shows some additional features of the graphical representation




FIGURE 40

This is the view you can obtain as you navigate through the risk assessment model, which is in the shape of a family tree




FIGURE 41

EDIT ASSESSMENT
Type Depth Help

Parent of child limit generation reached at 1.

<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: POOR</p> <p>Value: 18.1</p> <p>FILE</p>	<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: POOR</p> <p>Value: 19.0</p> <p>FILE</p>	<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: MODERATE</p> <p>Value: 14.0</p> <p>RFILE</p>	<p>One customer concentration</p> <p>Units: percent</p> <p>35.0</p> <p>Value: 14.0</p> <p>FILE</p>
<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: POOR</p> <p>Value: 18.1</p> <p>FILE</p>	<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: POOR</p> <p>Value: 19.0</p> <p>FILE</p>	<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: MODERATE</p> <p>Value: 14.0</p> <p>RFILE</p>	<p>Two customer concentration</p> <p>Units: percent</p> <p>52.0</p> <p>Value: 12.0</p> <p>FILE</p>
<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: POOR</p> <p>Value: 18.1</p> <p>FILE</p>	<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: POOR</p> <p>Value: 19.0</p> <p>FILE</p>	<p>Customer concentration</p> <p>Option: MODERATE</p> <p>Value: 14.0</p> <p>RFILE</p>	<p>Three customer concentration</p> <p>Units: percent</p> <p>59.0</p> <p>Value: 6.0</p> <p>FILE</p>

600

602

603a

601

604a

Explanation

CUSTOMER CONCENTRATION used worst subcategory
ONE CUSTOMER CONCENTRATION

OK

Start | CitSage | CitSage | Windows NT Task Mana... | Microsoft Word - Docume... | 8:29 AM

FIGURE 42

CHILD ASSESSMENT

Top: [Icons]

Credit assessment
Option: FAIR
Value: 28.0147
[F] [E]

Repayment sources
Option: GOOD
Value: 43.18
[F] [E]

Additional sources
Option: EXCELLENT
Value: 26.9617
[F] [E]

Non mortgage secondary coverage
Pos. Wgt.: 0.72
Option: GOOD
Value: 7.2
[R] [F] [E]

Liquidation coverage
Units: ratio-to-non-mortg
Value: 1.38184
[F] [E]

Distress coverage
Option: EXCELLENT
Value: 10.0
[F] [E]

Explanation
NON-MORTGAGE SECONDARY COVERAGE used best subcategory
DISTRESS COVERAGE
[OK]

Labels: 610, 613, 613a, 612, 612a, 611a

Taskbar: Start | CitiSage | Windows NT Task Mana... | Microsoft Word - Docume... | 8:21 AM

FIGURE 43





FIGURE 44



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US98/15803

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(6) :G06K 15/00

US CL :705/38

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 705/38

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5,574,828 A (HAYWARD et al.) 12 November 1996, col. 4, lines 1-6, col. 4, lines 30-35, col. 6, lines 14-17, col. 5, lines 32-41, col. 3, lines 50-53), col. 3, lines 37-43, fig. 22, col. 2, lines 11-25, figs. 78-81, 86, col. 4, lines 8-15, abstract..	1-24, 28-47, 49, 51, 52, 55-58, 60-61
X	US 5,262,941 A (SALADIN et al.) 16 November 1993, figs. 1-4	53



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
B earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
I document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*A* document member of the same patent family
O document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

14 NOVEMBER 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

21 JAN 1999

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Box PCT
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

THOMAS PEESO

Telephone No. (703) 305-9784